

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,374	07/01/2003	Ki Rin Sung	DE-1489 .	3709	
7590 03/31/2006			EXAMINER		
David A. Einhom, Esq.			HAWK, NOAH CHANDLER		
Anderson Kill & Olick, P.C. 1251 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10020			3637		

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/612,374	SUNG, KI RIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Noah C. Hawk	3637	

	Noah C. Hawk	3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).		
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	ice because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
 Other: 	m				
	LANNA MAI				
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINI	FR .			
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600	w) (

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: New Claim 6 adds additional limitations that would require further consideration and search. Claim 8 would now be indefiite for depending on a cancelled claim 7.